

Message

---

**From:** Sternberg, David [Sternberg.David@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/28/2021 5:32:46 PM  
**To:** Chow, Alice [chow.alice@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Delgrosso, Karen [Delgrosso.Karen@epa.gov]; Landis, Jeffrey [Landis.Jeffrey@epa.gov]; White, Terri-A [White.Terri-A@epa.gov]; Nitsch, Chad [Nitsch.Chad@epa.gov]; Fernandez, Cristina [Fernandez.Cristina@epa.gov]; Ferrell, Mark [Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Media Inquiry - Charleston Gazette Mail- NATA Update -Interview request

The interview is scheduled for 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. The reporter said he would try to convey questions in advance.

Thanks,

David

---

**From:** Sternberg, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 28, 2021 10:03 AM  
**To:** Chow, Alice <chow.alice@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Delgrosso, Karen <Delgrosso.Karen@epa.gov>; Landis, Jeffrey <Landis.Jeffrey@epa.gov>; White, Terri-A <White.Terri-A@epa.gov>; Nitsch, Chad <Nitsch.Chad@epa.gov>; Fernandez, Cristina <Fernandez.Cristina@epa.gov>; Ferrell, Mark <Ferrell.Mark@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Media Inquiry - Charleston Gazette Mail- NATA Update -Interview request

Hi Alice,

Would you be interested in speaking with this reporter. I think it might save us time and effort. He sounds like he would be willing to provide questions in advance.

Let me know your thoughts. Thanks,

David

---

**From:** Mike Tony <mtony@hdmediallc.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 28, 2021 1:30 AM  
**To:** Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: NATA Update

I just wanted to circle back to one of my original questions and see if you could say whether there's another NATA assessment scheduled, and if so, when it would take place. Are there any EPA officials that might be available for me to speak with about using localized data and impact on risk estimates at the two Union Carbide facilities? If not, I could send a list of further questions instead. Thanks very much again.

Best,  
Mike

---

**From:** Mike Tony <[mtony@hdmediallc.com](mailto:mtony@hdmediallc.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 4:17 PM  
**To:** Sternberg, David <[Sternberg.David@epa.gov](mailto:Sternberg.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: NATA Update

Thank you for the follow-up response. If I may, what is the difference in the collection processes for national and localized data? I was under the impression that all NATA data results were localized already.

---

**From:** Sternberg, David <[Sternberg.David@epa.gov](mailto:Sternberg.David@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 4:13 PM  
**To:** Mike Tony <[mtony@hdmediallc.com](mailto:mtony@hdmediallc.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: NATA Update

**CAUTION:**

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the senders email address and know the content is safe.

Mike,

West Virginia requested that EPA use more localized data instead of national data for two Union Carbide facilities (in South Charleston and in Institute). The revisions involved collecting facility-based emission location points, emissions process information, air dispersion modeling, and human exposure modeling for risk exposure. It is thought that using localized data may result in more representative risk estimates than national data.

David Sternberg  
Press Officer  
U.S. EPA

---

**From:** Mike Tony <[mtony@hdmediallc.com](mailto:mtony@hdmediallc.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 3:35 PM  
**To:** Sternberg, David <[Sternberg.David@epa.gov](mailto:Sternberg.David@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: NATA Update

Yes, but I'll be happy to take any response you can provide even if it comes after that. Thanks very much again.

---

**From:** Sternberg, David <[Sternberg.David@epa.gov](mailto:Sternberg.David@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 3:31 PM  
**To:** Mike Tony <[mtony@hdmediallc.com](mailto:mtony@hdmediallc.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: NATA Update

**CAUTION:**

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the senders email address and know the content is safe.

Mike,

I'm working to get you an answer. Are you still on a deadline of 5 pm today?

David

---

**From:** Mike Tony <mtony@hdmediallc.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 12:30 PM  
**To:** Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: NATA Update

Hi David,

Thank you for the response. What are those two ethylene oxide sources, and why is EPA working on revising the risk exposure modeling for nearby communities with updated meteorology and facility emissions for them? And if I may add another follow-up, what do such revisions entail? Thanks very much again for your insight.

Best,  
Mike

---

**From:** Sternberg, David <Sternberg.David@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2021 12:23 PM  
**To:** Mike Tony <mtony@hdmediallc.com>  
**Subject:** FW: NATA Update

**CAUTION:**

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the senders email address and know the content is safe.

Hi Mike,

Roy forwarded me your message.

The 2014 NATA, released in 2018 has not been updated. However, EPA is working on revising the risk exposure modeling for nearby communities with updated meteorology and facility emissions for two ethylene oxide sources, one in S. Charleston and the other in Institute, W.V.

David Sternberg

Press Officer  
U.S. EPA

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Mike Tony <[mtony@hdmediallc.com](mailto:mtony@hdmediallc.com)>

**Date:** April 26, 2021 at 8:02:40 AM EDT

**To:** "White, Terri-A" <[White.Terri-A@epa.gov](mailto:White.Terri-A@epa.gov)>, "Seneca, Roy" <[Seneca.Roy@epa.gov](mailto:Seneca.Roy@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** NATA Update

Hi Terri and Roy,

I wanted to see if you or someone else with EPA could tell me if there has been any NATA assessment released since the 2014 NATA released in 2018, and if not, when the next assessment will be released. Also, has EPA provided any resources for areas like Kanawha County in West Virginia that had several Census tracts with some of the highest total cancer risk (per million) in the country? If you could provide responses to this by tomorrow at 5 p.m., I'd greatly appreciate it. Thanks so much as always.

Best,  
Mike